

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/592,309	06/13/2000	Manu Kumar	000399	7378
23639	7590 09/29/2003			•
BINGHAM, MCCUTCHEN LLP			EXAMINER	
THREE EMBARCADERO, SUITE 1800 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-4067		BOUTAH, ALINA A		
o'			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2143	
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003	(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4

Application No. Office Action Summary Application No. O9/592,309 Examiner Art Unit					
Office Action Summany					
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit					
Alina N Boutah 2143					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	n.				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 June 2000</u> .					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 June 2000. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits	ie				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applicat	ion).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. Select and Trademork Office.					

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4, and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by USPN 6,108,687 issued to Craig.

Regarding claim 1, Craig teaches an apparatus for interactive communications over a network among participants at a plurality of locations, the apparatus comprising:

a plurality of computers operatively connected to the network (figures 1 and 3); each of said plurality of computers including means for receiving and displaying information from the network (figure 3); and

one of said plurality of computers having means for transmitting information to each of the other of said plurality of computers over the network, wherein said other computers each generally simultaneously displays the same information (Abstract; col. 3, line 45 to col. 4, line 29).

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 2, Craig teaches the apparatus as recited in claim 1, wherein the network comprises the Internet (col. 4, lines 12-15).

Regarding claim 3, Craig teaches the apparatus as recited in claim 1, wherein said means for receiving and displaying information from the network comprises a web browser (Abstract).

Regarding claim 4, Craig teaches the apparatus recited in claim 1, wherein said means for transmitting information to teach of the other said plurality from one of said plurality of computers comprises a presenter interface, the presenter interface further comprising means for communicating with each of the other of said plurality of computers and for causing information to be generally simultaneously displayed on said other computers (Figure 4; Abstract).

Regarding claim 8, Craig teaches a method of conducting a collaborative presentation among a plurality of participants at two or more locations, wherein each of said participants has a computer operatively connected to a computer network, the method comprising:

- a) providing a website on the computer network for said participants to obtain access to the collaborative presentation (col. 4, lines 7-10);
- b) providing information to be displayed on each of said participants' computers during the collaborative presentation (col. 4, lines 16-29);
 - c) providing means for said participants to access the website (col. 4, lines 7-10); and
- d) initiating the collaborative presentation by one of said plurality of participants presenting the information on the one of said participant's computers, wherein the computer of

Art Unit: 2143

each of the other of said plurality of participants generally simultaneously displays the

information (Abstract; col. 4, lines 16-29).

Regarding claim 9, Craig teaches a method of conducting a conference among a plurality

of participants situated at two or more locations over a computer network, the method

comprising:

a) providing a website on the computer network (col. 4, lines 7-10);

b) providing means for said participants to access the website via a computer having a

display (col. 4, lines 7-10);

c) providing a browser interface for conducting the conference (Abstract); and

d) operably connecting each said participants computers such that the display of each of

said participants generally simultaneously displays the browser interface (Abstract; col. 4, lines

16-29).

Regarding claim 10, Craig teaches as recited in claim 9 further including the step of

categorizing said participants into one of a presenter participant or an attendee participant, such

that the presenter participants' computer controls each of said attendee participants computers

via the browser interface (figure 4; col. 12, lines 55-56).

Regarding claim 11, Craig teaches an apparatus for conducting a conference among a

plurality of participants situated at two or more locations over a computer network, the apparatus

comprising:

Page 4

Art Unit: 2143

- a) a website having a server computer on the computer network (col. 4, lines 7-10);
- b) means for said participants to access the website via a computer having a display (col. 4, lines 7-10);
 - c) a browser interface for conducting the conference (figure 2); and
- d) means for operably connecting each of said participants computers such that the display of each of said participants generally simultaneously displays the browser interface (Abstract; col. 4, lines 16-29).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Craig in view of *Using Microsoft Powerpoint 2000* by Rutledge et al.

Regarding claim 5, Craig teaches a method of conducting a collaborative presentation among a plurality of participants situated at two or more locations, wherein each of said participants has a computer operatively connected to a computer network, the method comprising:

a) providing a web site on the computer network for a said participants to obtain access to the collaborative presentation (col. 4, lines 7-10);

Art Unit: 2143

c) providing information to be displayed on each of said participant's computers during the collaborative presentation (col. 4, lines 16-29);

- d) accessing the website to the identifier means (col. 4, lines 16-29); and
- e) initiating the collaborative presentation by one of said plurality of participants presenting the information on the one of said participants computers, wherein the computer of each of the other of said plurality of participants generally simultaneously displays the information (Abstract; col. 4, lines 16-29).

However, Craig does not expressly teach b) sending a message to each of said plurality of participants, the message providing an identifier means for accessing the collaborative presentation. Rutledge et al. teach sending a message to each of said plurality of participants, the message providing an identifier means for accessing the collaborative presentation (Chapter 17, Using Online Broadcasts and Meetings pages 1-11). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to send a message to each of said plurality of participants in order to instruct the participants to access the collaborative presentation, thus, ensuring that those that are intended to participate in the presentation will not be left out.

Regarding claim 6, Craig teaches the method of conducting a collaborative presentation among a plurality of participants as recited in claim 5, further including the step of initiating a telephone conference call while conducting the collaborative presentation (col. 4, lines 51-55).

Regarding claim 7, Craig fails to expressly teach the method of conducting a collaborative presentation among a plurality of participants as recited in claim 5, wherein said

Art Unit: 2143

Page 7

identifier means comprises one or more of a confirmation number, and a scheduled date and time for accessing the collaborative presentation. Rutledge et al. teaches said identifier means comprises a scheduled date and time for accessing the collaborative presentation (Chapter 17, Using Online Broadcasts and Meetings pages 1-11). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to enable said identifier means to comprise a scheduled date and time for accessing the collaborative presentation in order to ensure that participants will access the presentation at the specific time and date, therefore, ensuring that those that are intended to participate in the presentation will not be left out.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- 1. USPN 6,070,185 issued to Anupam et al.
- 2. USPN 6,155,840 issued to Sallette, Alfred V.
- 3. USPAN 2002/0016788 by BURRIDGE, RICHARD N.
- 4. PCT WO 97/37484 Craddock et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alina N Boutah whose telephone number is (703) 305-5104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30 am-5:30 pm).

Art Unit: 2143

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on (703) 308-5221. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

ANB

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 8

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100